

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/871,903	06/04/2001	Ford Oxaal	RP990002US	3784	
75	90 09/19/2002				
Westerlund & Powell, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
122 N. Alfred Street Alexandria, VA 22314-3011			HAVAN, THU THAO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672		
		DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

- 15, 11

		1/.				
	Application No	Applicant(s)				
7	09/871,903	OXAAL, FORD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	<u>June 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carrillor.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n nrigrity under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ir priority under 35 0.0.0. g	119(a)-(d) 01 (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	p undor 00 0.0.0.	55e ana.ei.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. Formal drawings are approved.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims **1-16** are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6,243,099 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

A.) Re claim 1, claims 11-12 of patent number 6,243,099 clearly and explicitly teach claim 1 of the present application (col. 9, lines 41-55). The claim 11 of the application recites the exact wording of claim 1 in present application except for "a direction". It is apparent that claim 12 of patent number 6,243,099 discloses a direction

Application/Control Number: 09/871,903

Art Unit: 2672

because the limitations explicitly state "rotating the direction of view in the opposite direction".

- B.) Re claims **2, 13, and 15**, claim 2 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claims 2, 13, and 15 of the present application (col. 9, lines 1-3).
- C.) Re claim 3 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claim 3 of the present application (col. 9, lines 4-8).
- D.) Re claim 4, claim 5 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claim 4 of the present application (col. 9, lines 12-16).
- E.) Re claims **5 and 9**, claim 6 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claims 5 and 9 of the present application (col. 9, lines 17-26).
- F.) Re-claims **6 and 10**, claim 7 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claims 6 and 10 of the present application (<u>col. 9</u>, <u>lines 28-29</u>).
- G.) Re claim **7**, claim 8 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claim 8 of the present application (col. 9, lines 30-34).
- H.) Re claims **8 and 11**, claim 10 of patent number 6,243,099 claims all the limitations of claims 8 and 10 of the present application (<u>col. 9</u>, <u>lines 39-40</u>).
- I.) Re claims **12 and 14**, claims 11-13 of patent number 6,243,099 clearly and explicitly teach claims 12 and 14 of the present application (col. 9, line 41 to col. 13, line <u>6</u>). The claim 11 of the application recites the exact wording of claims 12 and 14 in present application except for "interactively changing said direction of view to thereby select a second direction of view". It is apparent that claim 13 of patent number 6,243,099 discloses interactively changing said direction of view to thereby select a

Application/Control Number: 09/871,903

Art Unit: 2672

second direction of view because the limitations explicitly states "interactively changing said direction of view to thereby display another portion of said texture mapped p-sphere". When the limitation of interactively changing a direction of view then that is changing directions from one direction (i.e. first direction) to another direction (i.e. second direction) of view.

J.) The limitations of claim **16** analyzed as discussed with respect to claims 1, 12, and 14 above.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Acker, US patent no. 6,016,439

Chen et al., US Patent No. 5,396,583

Cohen et al., US Patent No. 6,028,955

Kanade et al., US Patent No. 6,084,979

Golin et al., US Patent No. 6,031,540

Taylor et al., US Patent No. 5,973,700

Erdahl et al., US Patent No. 5,699,497

Gueziec et al., US Patent No. 5,951,475

Application/Control Number: 09/871,903

Art Unit: 2672

Oxaal, US Patent No. 5,903,782

## Inquiries

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan Art Unit: 2672 September 16, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600